

## Training the Trainers participant survey 2012

The following responses were collecting from those people who went through the Training the Trainers programme in June 2012 and October 2012, at the end of the second day of each session.

*Note: the feedback has been given a numerical value to aid analysis, with the bottom of the scale (eg: "very bad") being given one and the top (eg: "very good") a value of five.*

1. How useful and relevant did you find the workshop?

Answer options	Not at all				Very useful	Mean rating
	0	1	1	7	12	4.43

Three people provided additional comments, noting that the basics were covered well, that some of the skills were already familiar, and that while it interesting it was not necessarily tailored to Wikimedia.

See Appendix 1 for full details.

2. How likely are you to use the ideas and techniques you heard about in this workshop?

Answer options	Very unlikely				Certainly	Mean rating
	0	0	1	12	8	4.33

The comments reflected that general feeling that the content of the course was appropriate and would be used in the future.

See Appendix 2 for full details.

3. How useful did you find the exercises?

Answer options	Not at all				Very useful	Mean rating
	0	0	0	12	9	4.43

There were two comments, noting that it was "Interesting to watch others and learn from their mistakes" and that the "Second day was better".

4. Were the materials and information presented in a clear and informative manner?

Answer options	Very unclear				Very clear	Mean rating
	0	1	1	8	11	4.38

One of the attendees did not that it was “Sometimes a bit too corporate”.

5. How useful and interesting did you find the handouts?

Answer options	Not at all				Very useful	Mean rating
	1	0	7	7	6	3.81

The people who commented found that handouts in general did not necessarily complement their learning style.

See Appendix 3 for full details.

6. How suitable was the venue?

Answer options	Not at all				Very suitable	Mean rating
	0	0	3	14	4	4.05

There was one additional comment, noting that it would have been better if the workshop had taken place in a bigger room.

7. What do you think about the performance of the trainer(s)?

Answer options	Very poor				Excellent	Mean rating
	0	0	0	3	18	4.86

The four people who made further comments on the trainers all agreed that they were high quality.

See Appendix 4. for full details.

The words chosen to describe TtT were generally positive, though suggested the experience was tiring. The most commonly chosen words were “informative” (which occurred 6 times), “interesting” (4), “engaging” (3), and “practical” (4). Below is a word cloud illustrating the occurrence of descriptions, with a large size indicating greater frequency.

Informative

Engaging

Long

Useful

Enjoyable

Well

Practical

Interesting

Thorough

Knowledgeable

Relevant

Helpful

Fun

Inspiring

Active

Control

Accessible

Enlightening

Insightful

Clear

Good

Supportive

Balanced

Friendly

Timing

Uplifting

Unexpected

Moreish

Busy

Handouts

Interactive

Invigorating

Varied

Intense

Creative

Arduous

organised

structured

Genuine

Educational

Activist

9. Any other comments?

The four people who made further comments on the trainers all agreed that they were high quality.

See Appendix 6.for full details.

### **Appendix 1 – How useful and relevant did you find the workshop?**

“Not ever so relevant to Wikimedia-style training, but interesting”

“Some of the information I was already familiar with”

“Well laid basics”

### **Appendix 2 – How likely are you to use the ideas and techniques you heard about in this workshop?**

“With adaptation; definitely food for thought though.”

“So useful”

“Some of the techniques I already use”

### **Appendix 3 – How useful and interesting did you find the handouts?**

“Reserving handouts for key points would have more impact”

“More graphs and visual ways of understanding would help me”

“I don’t like paper!”

“Personal preference – do not like PowerPoint and handouts – I’m an ‘activist’.

### **Appendix 4 – What did you think of the performance of the trainer(s)?**

“Excellent, experienced, knowledgeable trainers”

“Really tried to incorporate the style of content of Wikimedia UK”

“Led by example”

“Made me actually pay attention to what would usually be very boring.”

**Appendix 5 – Please write three words which you think describe this workshop best**

“Practical”

“Engaging”

“Insightful”

“Well structured”

“Interesting”

“Unexpected”

“Enjoyable”

“Clear”

“Useful”

“Intense”

“Though-provoking”

“Activist”

“Informative”

“Interesting”

“Informative”

“Busy”

“Good”

“Practical”

“Engaging”

“Intensive”

“Timing”

“Informative”

“Varied”

“Well organised”

“Genuine”

“Engaging”

“Interesting”

“Active”

“Informative”

“Creative”

“Enlightening”

“Interactive”

“Uplifting”

“Relevant”

“Fun”

“Practical”

“Thorough”

“Informative”

“Training”

“Informative”

“Thorough”

“Supportive”

“Accessible”

“Arduous”

“In control”

“Long”

“Varied”

“Moreish”

“Enjoyable”

“Invigorating”

“Inspiring”

“Handouts”

“Useful”

“Educational”

“Helpful”

“Balanced”

“Long”

“Knowledgeable”

“Structured”

“Interesting”

“Friendly”

### **Appendix 6 – Any other comments?**

“None we have already talked. THANK YOU!”

“Coverage of power point was over-detailed given audience’s needs.”

“Homey and Mumford cycle is going to really stay with me”

“Too drained now to think of anything!”

“You gave me a fresh and revelatory insight into my training style and the style of my co-trainers”

“Very good course, well adapted for WMUK needs”

“Too long”