

Sphingonet Wiki workshop, 10th July 2013, Merton College, Oxford

Feedback form – summary of responses

We received 13 feedback forms from 13 attendees at the Sphingonet Wiki workshop.

Note: the feedback has been given a numerical value to aid analysis, with the bottom of the scale (eg: “very bad”) being given one and the top (eg: “very good”) a value of five.

1. Please rate the quality of the following?

Answer options	Not at all				Very useful	Mean rating
The workshop in general	0	0	0	7	6	4.46
Content	0	0	0	10	3	4.23
Trainers	0	0	0	3	10	4.77
Material available	0	0	2	10	1	3.92
Your confidence to edit Wikipedia	0	0	2	7	3	4.08
Your understanding of Wikipedia	0	0	2	8	3	4.08

2. How likely are you to continue editing Wikipedia?

Answer options	Very unlikely				Very likely	Mean rating
	0	0	2	6	5	4.23

Two people took the opportunity to leave further comments, one saying the session was very interesting the other thanking the organisers for the event.

See Appendix 1 for full details.

3. Please write three words/expressions which describe this workshop for you

Broadly positive words were used, with the results displayed in a word cloud below. Words which occurred in the feedback more frequently are in a larger font.

See Appendix 2 for further details.

positive
introduction interesting
comprehensive discovery
great helpful useful
comprehension point
motivating
inspiring fun educating view
rewarding
different enjoyable networking
friendly tutorial responsive
informative
excellent encouraging interactive
enthusiastic confidence engaging
insightful

4. Tell us at least one thing that would have improved this workshop for you

Of the nine people who responded to this question, two people commented on the organisation, one asking for more time and the other for better wifi. On top of that, one person remarked that they had no suggestions. The remaining six people asked for more information, ranging from a step-by-step guide to creating articles to an explanation of projects such as Wikisource.

See Appendix 3 for further details.

5. Further comments – anything positive or negative that you would like to share!

The four answers to this question were uniformly positive with three people praising the trainers, and the four showing real enthusiasm for getting involved with Wikipedia.

See Appendix 4 for further details.

Appendix 1: How likely are you to continue editing Wikipedia – additional comments

“Very interesting and helpful all round”

“Thank you so much for introducing us with such a valuable amount of information”

Appendix 2: Please write three words/expressions which describe this workshop for you

“informative”

“enthusiastic”

“tutorial”

“comprehensive”

“great introduction”

“informative”

“helpful”

“interactive”

“excellent”

“very useful”

“rewarding”

“useful”

“interesting”

“engaging”

“helpful”

“comprehension”

“fun”

“inspiring”

“enjoyable/friendly”

“informative”

“encouraging”

“useful”

“discovery”

“interactive”

“insightful”

“responsive”

“confidence, networking”

“helpful”

“motivating”

“useful”

“enjoyable”

“positive”

“inspiring”

“different point of view”

“educating”

Appendix 3: Tell us at least one thing that would have improved this workshop for you

“Expansion to other projects, e.g. Wikisource as well”

“Better wifi”

“Edition, rights, links...”

“A little more detail on making references”

“Stepwise walk through article creation”

“It was great. Nothing especially.”

“More info on technical background (editing conflicts, version tracking)”

“More time, more detail about including media”

“Editing rules”

Appendix 4: Further comments - anything positive or negative that you would like to share!

“It was great and motivates me to being a part of the world of information sharing!!”

“The trainers are helpful and nice”

“Very motivating and competent trainers”

“The trainers were very helpful!”